

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www wayto gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/574,675 | 04/04/2006 | Dennis Lee | PU60526 | 4093 |
| 20402 01/21/2009 SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 P. O. BOX 1539 KING OF PRUSSIA. PA 19406-0939 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | RAHMANI, NILOOFAR | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1625 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/21/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US_cipkop@gsk.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/574.675 LEE ET AL. Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit

| | NILOOFAR RAHMANI 1625 |
|-----|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address |
| Th | is application is abandoned in view of: |
| | Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>02 April 2008</u> . (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on |
| | (b) 🔲 A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejectio |
| | (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compilance with 37 CFR 1.114). |
| | (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). |
| | d) ⊠ No reply has been received. |
| 2. | Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three month from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). |
| | (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on(with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice Allowance (PTOL-85). |
| | b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. |
| | The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ |
| | c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. |
| 3.[| Allowability (PTO-37). |
| | Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. |
| | b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. |
| 1. | ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. |
| 5. | ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. |
| 5. | ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court revie of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. |
| 7. | ☑ The reason(s) below: |
| | Telephone call was made on 12/19/2008 with the attorney Reid Willis. Reid Willis confirmed that there is no respons after the office action on 04/02/2008. The applicants confirmed the abandment. |
| | /D. Margaret Seaman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625 |
| | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)